Time-reversal violation (*TRV*) in radiative β decay trinat.triumf.ca J.A. Behr, A. Gorelov, TRIUMF; J. McNeil, UBC; D. Melconian, Texas A&M; M. Anholm, G. Gwinner, U. Manitoba; M. Khoo, UBC; A. Afanassieva, McMaster U.

When designing TRV decay experiments:

• What underlying physics generates the TRV? • How big are the 'final state effects'? Is anyone else doing it better? • How strong are the contraints from null EDM's?

Our TRV observable needs 3 uncorrelated momenta:

 $\mathbf{t} \rightarrow -\mathbf{t} \Rightarrow \vec{p} \propto \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} \rightarrow -\vec{p}$ We routinely measure $\vec{p}_{\nu} = -\vec{p}_{\beta} - \vec{p}_{\text{recoil}}$ but $\vec{p}_{recoil} \cdot \vec{p}_{\beta} \times \vec{p}_{\nu} \equiv 0$





TRV makes $\neq \gamma$ rates $\stackrel{\checkmark}{=}$ **Coincident pairs test** detector symmetry \bigcirc

 $t \rightarrow -t$

• Not exact: don't flip $\psi_i \leftrightarrow \psi_f$. Outgoing particles interact by $E\&M \rightarrow \psi_f$ 'final-state' TRV asym < 10^{-3} for 37 K \bigcirc (Gardner, He 2013) Would be unique to 1st generation, complementary to

 $K^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e \gamma$ INR Moscow 2007, $A_{TRV} = -0.015 \pm 0.021$

Parity broken, why not Time?



ANTHRACENE CRYSTAL Immediately after Parity was seen to be totally broken in β decay (' ν left-handed') Wu, Ambler, Hayward, Hopper, Hobson, PR 105 (1957) 1413

> many T-odd observables were proposed: PHYSICAL REVIEW VOLUME 106, NUMBER 3

> > Possible Tests of Time Reversal Invariance in Beta Decay

J. D. JACKSON,* S. B. TREIMAN, AND H. W. WYLD, JR. Palmer Physical Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey (Received January 28, 1957)

Observables with spin $D\hat{J} \cdot \frac{\vec{p_{\beta}}}{E_{\beta}} \times \frac{\vec{p_{\nu}}}{E_{\beta}} R\vec{\sigma}_{\beta} \cdot \hat{J} \times \frac{\vec{p_{\beta}}}{E_{\beta}}$ show TRV asymmetries < 0.001 (We consider D in 'isospin-forbidden mirror' decay of ⁴⁵K, sensitivity enhanced 4 to 100x) $\beta \nu \gamma$ TRV asymmetry could still be bigger \heartsuit



QCD-like interactions generate antisymmetry Harvey, Hill, Hill, PRL 2007; Gardner, He PRD 2013: Weak decay E&M QCD $\mathcal{L} = \frac{-4c_5}{m_{\text{nucleon}^2}} \frac{eG_F V_{ud}}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{\sigma \mu \nu \rho} \bar{p} \gamma_{\sigma} n \bar{\psi}_{eL} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{\nu L} F_{\nu \rho}$

Interference with S.M. β decay 'vector current' makes the scalar triple product we seek 😌:

 $|\mathcal{M}_{c5}|^2 \propto \frac{lm(c_5g_V)}{M^2}$

Needs QCD-like physics, scale $M \sim 10$'s of MeV • This *TRV* scales with $p_{\text{lepton}}^2 \rightarrow$ $\sim 10^2$ larger in ³⁷K decay than neutron • Direct constraint from $n \rightarrow p \beta \nu \gamma$ branch $\propto |c_5|^2$ Bales PRL 2016: 3.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10⁻³ (theory 3.1 \times 10⁻³) $\Rightarrow \frac{Im(c_5)}{M^2} \leq 8MeV^{-2} \Rightarrow {}^{37}K TRV$ asym can be $\sim 1 \bigcirc$

E_{γ} spectrum is distinctive \bigcirc



We are concentrating on $E_{\gamma} > 511$ keV, $E_{GAGG} [MeV]$ with the β^+ in the opposite detector, though a thin-thick high-Z scintillator sandwich distinguishes low $E_{\gamma} \sim 5:1$

Some TRV $\gamma\beta\nu$

interactions, e.g. : S. Gardner & D. He

PRD 87 116012 (2013)



make neutron EDM at "1-loop" order (D. McKeen, private comm). n n



ightarrow *TRV* $ho
u
u \gamma$ from such interactions likely too tiny to measure • Other interactions (e.g. leptoquarks) need "2 loops" so generate comparatively tiny nEDM so are less constrained, could generate $TRV\beta\nu\gamma$ large enough to measure \mathbf{C}





$$\frac{E_e}{p_e k} (\vec{p_e} \times \vec{k_{\gamma}}) \cdot \vec{p_{\nu}}$$



SIMULATION 40,000 atoms $E_{\beta} > 1 \text{ keV}$ 4 days $E_{\beta} > 600 \text{ keV}$ $E_{\beta} > 600 \text{ keV}$ $511s + E_{\beta} > 600 \text{ keV}$ $511s + E_{\beta} > 600 \text{ keV}$ Any time-reversal violating interaction involves β, ν, γ \Rightarrow 4-body phase space \propto $E_{\gamma}(Q - E_{\gamma})^3$ Bernard PLB 2004

Constraint from neutron EDM on TRV $\beta \nu \gamma$ Though $\vec{p_{\nu}} \cdot \vec{p_{\beta}} \times \vec{p_{\gamma}}$ doesn't involve spin, EDM's indirectly constrain:

"Naive Dimensional Analysis" $C_5 \frac{e^2 G_F M_W^3}{16\pi^2 m^2}$ suggests nEDM

larger than experiment by $\sim 10^8$.



Support: NSERC, NRC through TRIUMF, U.S. D.O.E.